

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/518,699	03/03/2000	Martin S Berger	B-66383	7109	
75	90 03/30/2004		EXAM	INER	
Kenneth R. Glaser			RUDY, ANDREW J		
GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP 1601 Elm Street,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 3000			3627		
Dallas, TX 75201-4761			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/518,69	99	BERGER, MARTIN S				
		Examiner		Art Unit	·			
		Andrew Jo	seph Rudy	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this community or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	iress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGN SOLY (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication.)) days, a reply within the statu tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor () (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>09 January 200</i> -	<u>4</u> .					
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	_							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted or b) the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	• ,			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[_ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of t	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P	ate	.152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	.,	,			

Application/Control Number: 09/518,699

Art Unit: 3627

589

1. Applicant's Amendment received January 9, 2004 has been reviewed. The REMARKS/ARGUMENTS are convincing. Thus, the previous rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

2. Claims 1-9, 16, 17, 25-33 and 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claim 1 only recites an abstract idea. The recited steps of promoting intellectual property does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. These steps only constitute an idea of how to select an insurance policy over another. As is the terms "host station having at least one database" and a "processor based system" do not provide a line of demarcation to provide distinguishing claim language.

Application/Control Number: 09/518,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurland, US

4,603,232 in view of Schulze, Jr. US, 6,233,564.

Kurland discloses a plurality of intellectual property items, e.g. 10, consumer stations,

e.g. 14, 16, 18, 20, host station, e.g. 12, survey data, e.g. 52. Kurland does not appear to disclose

determining interest in a selected intellectual property item based upon the survey information.

Schulze discloses the common knowledge of providing interest to buy a selected

intellectual property item based upon survey information.

To have provided interest to buy a selected intellectual property item based upon survey

information for the intellectual property items of Kurland would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art in view of Schulze. The motivation for doing such would have been

implementing common knowledge and well-known buying criteria used in the selection of a

particular intellectual property item. Regarding confidential information, the use of personal

identification numbers (PINS) were common knowledge in the art and to have used such would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Again, the Examiner expresses regret that compact prosecution of the present Application

has been delayed.

4. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoden Joseph Riche

Page 4